

RGVMPO FY2025-2027 TRANSPORTATION ALTERNATIVES APPLICATION - Part A

For Projects in the RGVMPO Metropolitan Area Boundary (MAB)

(Cameron, Hidalgo, and Starr counties, Texas)

Project Sponsor Name			Date
Point-of-Contact Person		Title	
Phone Number	Email Ad	dress	
TA Funding Request	Local Match		
Project Name			
Project Description (Scope of Work drawings, and photographs. Here's			
Project Length	Limits From		Limits To

- 1. Eligible Project Sponsor Category: Please select the applicable project sponsor.
- 2. **Project Type:** Please select the project type for this project. (Check all that apply.)

Multiuse Path or Protect Bike Lane

Bicycle/Pedestrian Signal or Crossing

Safe Routes for Non-Drivers Travel Plan Traffic Calming

On-Road Bicycle Improvements

Sidewalk

Historical Preservation of Transportation Facility

Environmental Mitigation

Safe Routes Promotional Activities

Vegetation Management

Removal of Outdoor Advertising

Bike Parking

Overlooks or Viewing Areas

Recreational Trails

Project is a plan/study for future construction.

Project will consist of construction of a new infrastructure and replace some existing facility.

Project is 100% new construction.

Other: Page 1 of 8

- **A. PROJECT READINESS:** Attach backup documentation and label first page as 'Exhibit A: Project Readiness'. If documentation is not provided it will be requested during review, prior to scoring, to verify eligibility of points. **For all Planning Projects, please select not applicable (N/A).**
- 3. Plans, Specifications, & Estimates Status
- 4. Environmental Clearance Status
- 5. Right of Way Status (please provide proof of property ownership or related agreements)
- 6. Railroad & Utility Status

B. RESILIENCE & SAFETY BENEFITS:

- 7. Non-motorized crash count/rate [RGVMPO GIS Analysis]

 RGVMPO Staff will analyze the proposed project route to determine the non-motorized crash count/rate and eligible scoring points.
- **8.** Proposed safety countermeasures (Check all that apply.)

Lighting

Signage improvements

Pavement marking improvements.

Speed management

Curb extensions

Crosswalk visibility enhancements

Medians and/or refuge islands Rectangular

Rapid Flashing Beacons (RRFB)

Other:

None

9. Proposed infrastructure elements (Check all that apply.)

Separating bicycle and pedestrians from motorized traffic (excluding side paths with more than

10 crossings/driveways per mile)

Safe routes for non-drivers travel plan

Bicycle/Pedestrian Crossing

On-road bicycle facilities

Side path with less than 10 crossings/driveways per mile

Traffic Calming

Replacement/Rehabilitation

Other:

None

10. Project promotes/enhances

Resilience & Sustainability elements:

C. EQUITY

The BIL added a provision to the TA Set-Aside requiring that the competitive process used by a State or MPO include prioritization of project location and impact in high-need areas as defined by the State, such as low-income, transit-dependent, rural, or ot her areas (23 U.S.C. 133(h)(4)(D)).

- 11. Project Area: Is project located in a High-Need area? (is it transit dependent, rural, low-income)
- **12. Population Impacted:** What is the potential population impacted? **[RGVMPO GIS Analysis]** RGVMPO staff will calculate the population residing within one mile of the project scope. If the project scope is part of a connected system, that population can be included with justification from the project sponsor.
 - Avg. percent elderly
 - Avg. percent disables
 - Avg. percent zero car household
 - Percentage living below the poverty line
- **13. Historically Disadvantaged Tract:** Historically disadvantage tract follows the Justice 40 initiative which includes certain qualifying census tract, tribal lands, or any territory possession of the U.S. with a poverty rate of at least 20 % as measured by the 2014-2018 5-year data series available from the American Community Survey of the Bureau of Census. Please utilize this map to answer.

D. CONNECTIVITY

14. Plan Consistency: Is the project consistent with regional and local plans? Provide documentation.

Consistent with state plans (Texas Bicycle Tourism Trails Study)

Consistent with regional plans (County-wide; Metro-area; or District-wide).

Consistent with local plans.

Inconsistent with plans.

15. Connects to existing multimodal transportation systems: Bike/Ped/Transit stops.

Intersects or expands on existing bicycle lanes, sharrows, trails.

Intersects or expands on existing sidewalks, ramps, bridges.

Proposed project is 0 to 0.5 mile to a transit stop or transfer center.

Does not connect to existing multimodal transportation systems.

16. Connects to public buildings, schools, and parks:

Proposed project is 0 to 0.5 miles from a public building (city hall, libraries, recreation centers, etc.).

Proposed project is 0 to 0.5 miles from a school (public, charter, higher education, etc.).

Proposed project is 0 to 0.5 miles from a park (local, state, or federal).

Does not connect to public buildings, schools, and parks.

17. Multi-jurisdictional connections or 10+ miles in length:

Connects across municipal (within city limits) and county jurisdictions (outside city limits).

Connects three or more municipalities/county limits.

Proposed project is 10 miles or more in length (spans across one city).

Does not connect to outside city limits.

Project Information Form- Part B

Project	Project Manager
CSJ: Pending Funding Award	TxDOT Project Manager (PM): TxDOT to Provide
HWY: N/A	LG PM & Contact Information:
Project Limits:	
County:	<u></u>
Functionally Classified: <u>N/A</u> Project Estimate Total Amount:	Sported!
Let Date:	Local dovernment's (Lds) Don #.
Scope of Work:	
	— County:
L LO DDO ITOT ODONICOD	— State:
Letting by: LG PROJECT SPONSOR	Zip code (******):
	Interlocal Agreement Required:
	Interlocal- Names of LGs involved:
	Interlocal Agreement Executed:
	Interlocal Agreement - Execution Date:

	Funding Source	Proje	Project Cost			
Description	Category 9 or Local	LOCAL GOVERNMENT'S LATEST COST ESTIMATE (3)	Let Year	Federal 80% (4)	Yes or No (5)	
PS&E (Preliminary Engineering)/Survey/ Geo/ Pavement Design (1)						
Environmental						
Right of Way (ROW)						
Utilities						
Construction (2)						
Construction (local 100%) *						
Construction Engineering						
Direct State Cost (15% of total project cost):						
Project Total						

- (1) Usually for Category 9 Planning Funded Projects. Locally Funded for Construction projects
- (2) For Category 9 Construction Funded Projects. For further guidance, please use TxDOT's Construction Cost Estimates Assistance Tool.
- (3) Please provide the total cost estimates for each phase of the project. Some of these will be \$0.
- (4) Only for the 80% Federal amount to be awarded to the project.
- (5) Only Category 9 Funds are reimbursable.
- * The Local Government is responsible for 100% of the construction costs exceeding the approved federal funding.

LG Name:	
LG Texas ID No. (TIN):	
District:	
Project Name:	

Oversight Level Special Approval - Part C

(Local Government Agency management of project development process elements)

Local government (LG) agencies may manage elements of the project development process (environmental, right-of-way acquisition, utility relocation, design/bid document preparation, letting and award, and construction/project close-out) with written TxDOT approval. This approval is typically provided by language in the Advance Funding Agreement executed by TxDOT and the local government agency. Part A (pages 1-4) of this form is required to be completed by LG personnel.

executed by TxDOT and the personnel.	e local government agenc					
Describe the following ite	ems for the proposed proj	ect or prog	ram			
Project limits, type of work and any significant elements						
Preliminary estimated project costs						
Anticipated funding sources	FHWA (category 9)	%	TxDOT	%	Local	%
LG proposes to manage	the following activities for	this projec	ot	by LG	performed with its own staff?	To be performed by consultant under contract with LG?
Environmental						
Right-of-way acquisition						
Utility relocation						
Design and bid documen	t preparation					
Letting and award						
_	spection, documentation	and projec	t close-out			
Other						
Other						
Describe I G's annroach t	o performing the propose	d manage	ment services t	for this i	nroiect	
Describe Ed 3 approach	o performing the propose	a manage	inchi scivices i	or this	project	

In evaluating a LG request to manage elements of the project development process for projects on the State Highway System or which include TxDOT and/or FHWA funding, 43 TAC §15.52 requires TxDOT to consider six criteria. The LG is to provide information requested in Items 1 and 2 below.

1. Previous experience of the LG in performing the type of work proposed

Attach an audited financial statement of Local Government Agency for most recent fiscal year.

If TxDOT already has a copy of a Single Audit report or other audited financial statement for a recent year, insert the fiscal year in the box to the right (submittal of an additional audit is not required).

Please provide information on up to two similar, completed projects managed or performed by the LG in the past 5 years.

PROJECT A

Name of previously completed project			
Describe type of work			
Describe any complex items of work			
Construction cost	Estimated:	Actual:	
Letting date	Scheduled:	Actual:	
Contract time	Scheduled:	Actual:	
LG management activities performed by LG personnel			
LG management activities performed by consultants			
Name of current LG employee contact who worked on project		Phone # Email	

PROJECT B

Name of previously completed project			
Describe type of work			
Describe any complex items of work			
Construction cost	Estimated:	Actual:	
Letting date	Scheduled:	Actual:	
Contract time	Scheduled:	Actual:	
LG management activities performed by LG personnel			
LG management activities performed by consultants			
Name of current LG employee contact who worked on project		Phone # Email	

 The capability of the LG to perform the type of work proposed or to award and manage a contract for that work in a timely manner, consistent with federal, state, and Department regulations, standards, and specifications

Please describe the LG's proposed personnel.

Person in Charge	Name of person to serve in the position of Responsible Person in Charge		Position/ Title	
------------------	---	--	--------------------	--

- a. Must be full-time employee of LG;
- b. Must be able to administer project activities (cost, time, scope, adherence to contract requirements, construction quality, etc.);
- c. Must maintain familiarity with day-to-day project operations (including project safety);
- d. Must make or participate in decisions about change orders or supplemental agreements;
- e. Must visit and review the project regularly;
- f. Must review financial processes, transactions and documentation; and
- g. Must direct his/her project staff (agency or consultant) at all stages of the project.

Name of person to serve as Project Manager			Position/ Title		
a. Responsible for daily ov	ersight of the project;				
b. Primary point of commu	-	day-to-day matters;			
c. May be same person as					
d. May be local governmer		_			
Project Manager's previou projects of similar type, co					
Project Manager's previou TxDOT and/or FHWA-funde					
Name of person to serve in					
the position of Qualified			Position/ Title		
Person					
a. Must have completed To	•	- ·			
b. May be same person as		Charge or Project Ma	anager; and		
c. May be LG employee or	consultant.				
Qualified Person's previou projects of similar type, co					
Qualified Person's previou TxDOT and/or FHWA-funder					
nformation submitted by:					
LG representativ	e signature			Date	-
LG representative	printed name		LG ren	presentative title	-